

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 08/926,882               | 09/10/1997      | SHIGEO YAMAGATA      | B208-062-DIV            | 7670             |
| 26272                    | 7590 07/17/2002 | r                    |                         |                  |
| ROBIN BLECKER & DALEY    |                 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| 2ND FLOOR<br>330 MADISON |                 | ,                    | TRAN, THAI Q            |                  |
| NEW YORK,                | NY 10017        |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                          |                 |                      | 2615                    |                  |
|                          |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

g

| •  | · ·   |                             |                     |  |  |  |
|--|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.                                 | Applicant(s)                |                     |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action  | 08/926,882                                      | YAMAGATA ET AL.             | (                   |  |  |  |
| . A  | Examiner  | Art Unit                    |                     |  |  |  |
|  | Thai Tran                                       | 2615                        |                     |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | ears on the cover sheet with the o              | correspondence add          | ress                |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 11 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   |   |                             |                     |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR R   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]                    |                             |                     |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |   |                             |                     |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee   |   |                             |                     |  |  |  |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte<br>37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene<br>(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | ed statutory period for reply originally set in | the final Office action; or | (2) as set forth in |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF  |   |                             |                     |  |  |  |
| 2. $\boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered   | because:  |                             |                     |  |  |  |
| (a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require furt  | her consideration and/or search                 | (see NOTE below);           |                     |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |   |                             |                     |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |   |                             |                     |  |  |  |
| (d) $\square$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |   |                             |                     |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  |   |                             |                     |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje   | ction(s):                                       |                             |                     |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | d be allowable if submitted in a s              | separate, timely filed      | d amendment         |  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _   |   | sidered but does NC         | OT place the        |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ecause it is not directed SOLELY                | to issues which we          | re newly            |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims of the proposed amendment of |   |                             | and an              |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows  | S:  |                             |                     |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |                             |                     |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |                             |                     |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 49-55.  |   |                             |                     |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |                             |                     |  |  |  |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on i  | is a)□ approved or b)□ disap                    | proved by the Exan          | niner.              |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem   | ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).                  | ·                           |                     |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:   |   |                             |                     |  |  |  |
| •  |   |                             |                     |  |  |  |
| S. Palent and Trademark Office   |   |                             |                     |  |  |  |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the newly added limitation "on a display screen" in claims 49, 51, and 54-55 raises new issue that would require at feast further consideration.

THA TRAN EXAMINER